
Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure

www.cjdt.dc.gov
Telephone: 202-727-1363

Description	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Approved	FY 2012 Proposed	% Change from FY 2011
Operating Budget	\$263,117	\$294,410	\$295,000	0.2
FTEs	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0

The mission of the Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure (CJDT) is to preserve an independent and fair judiciary.

The services provided by CJDT are as follows: reviewing complaints concerning the misconduct of judges; conducting performance evaluations of associate judges eligible for reappointment; conducting fitness and qualification reviews of retiring and senior judges; and processing the involuntary retirement of judges for health reasons.

The agency's FY 2012 proposed budget is presented in the following tables:

FY 2012 Proposed Gross Funds Operating Budget, by Revenue Type

Table DQ0-1 contains the proposed FY 2012 agency budget compared to the FY 2011 approved budget. It also provides FY 2009 and FY 2010 actual expenditures.

Table DQ0-1
(dollars in thousands)

Appropriated Fund	Actual FY 2009	Actual FY 2010	Approved FY 2011	Proposed FY 2012	Change from FY 2011	Percent Change*
General Fund						
Local Funds	272	0	0	0	0	N/A
Total for General Fund	272	0	0	0	0	N/A
Federal Resources						
Federal Payments	0	263	294	295	1	0.2
Total for Federal Resources	0	263	294	295	1	0.2
Gross Funds	272	263	294	295	1	0.2

*Percent change is based on whole dollars.

Note: If applicable, for a breakdown of each Grant (Federal and Private), Special Purpose Revenue type and Intra-District agreement, please refer to Schedule 80 Agency Summary by Revenue Source in the FY 2012 Operating Appendices located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website.

FY 2012 Proposed Full-Time Equivalents, by Revenue Type

Table DQ0-2 contains the proposed FY 2012 FTE level compared to the FY 2011 approved FTE level by revenue type. It also provides FY 2009 and FY 2010 actual data.

Table DQ0-2

Appropriated Fund	Actual FY 2009	Actual FY 2010	Approved FY 2011	Proposed FY 2012	Change from FY 2011	Percent Change
General Fund						
Local Funds	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A
Total for General Fund	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A
Federal Resources						
Federal Payments	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
Total for Federal Resources	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
Total Proposed FTEs	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0

FY 2012 Proposed Operating Budget, by Comptroller Source Group

Table DQ0-3 contains the proposed FY 2012 budget at the Comptroller Source Group (object class) level compared to the FY 2011 approved budget. It also provides FY 2009 and FY 2010 actual expenditures.

Table DQ0-3
(dollars in thousands)

Comptroller Source Group	Actual FY 2009	Actual FY 2010	Approved FY 2011	Proposed FY 2012	Change from FY 2011	Percent Change*
11 - Regular Pay - Cont Full Time	191	189	198	184	-14	-7.0
13 - Additional Gross Pay	7	0	0	5	5	N/A
14 - Fringe Benefits - Curr Personnel	15	20	24	24	-1	-2.2
Subtotal Personal Services (PS)	213	208	222	212	-9	-4.2
20 - Supplies and Materials	0	5	4	4	0	0.0
31 - Telephone, Telegraph, Telegram, Etc.	2	3	3	3	0	-10.1
40 - Other Services and Charges	30	20	29	40	10	34.7
41 - Contractual Services - Other	19	25	28	28	0	0.0
70 - Equipment and Equipment Rental	8	2	8	8	0	0.0
Subtotal Nonpersonal Services (NPS)	59	55	73	83	10	13.6
Gross Funds	272	263	294	295	1	0.2

*Percent change is based on whole dollars.

Program Description

The Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure operates through the following 2 programs:

Judicial Disabilities and Tenure - provides administrative support to the Judicial Disabilities and Tenure Commission to ensure the Commission fulfills its mission, pursuant to section 11-1521 of the D.C. Official Code.

Agency Management - provides for administrative support and the required tools to achieve operational and programmatic results. This program is standard for all agencies using performance-based budgeting.

Program Structure Change

The Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure has no program structure changes in the FY 2012 Proposed Budget.

FY 2012 Proposed Operating Budget and FTEs, by Program and Activity

Table DQ0-4 contains the proposed FY 2012 budget by program and activity compared to the FY 2011 approved budget. It also provides the FY 2010 actual data.

Table DQ0-4

(dollars in thousands)

Program/Activity	Dollars in Thousands				Full-Time Equivalents			
	Actual FY 2010	Approved FY 2011	Proposed FY 2012	Change from FY 2011	Actual FY 2010	Approved FY 2011	Proposed FY 2012	Change from FY 2011
(1000) Agency Management								
(1020) Contracting and Procurement	1	1	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Subtotal (1000) Agency Management	1	1	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(2000) Judicial Disabilities Tenure								
(2100) Commission Administration and Support	262	293	294	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
Subtotal (2000) Judicial Disabilities Tenure	262	293	294	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
Total Proposed Operating Budget	263	294	295	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0

(Change is calculated by whole numbers and numbers may not add up due to rounding)

Note: For more detailed information regarding the proposed funding for the activities within this agency's programs, please see Schedule 30-PBB Program Summary by Activity in the FY 2012 Operating Appendices located on the Office of the Chief Financial Officer's website.

FY 2012 Proposed Budget Changes

The personal services portion of CJDT increased by \$590 due to annual increases in step and fringe benefits.

FY 2011 Approved Budget to FY 2012 Proposed Budget, by Revenue Type

Table DQ0-5 itemizes the changes by revenue type between the FY 2011 approved budget and the FY 2012 proposed budget.

Table DQ0-5

(dollars in thousands)

	PROGRAM	BUDGET	FTE
FEDERAL PAYMENTS: FY 2011 Approved Budget and FTE		294	2.0
Cost Increase: Increase in personal services for fringe benefits	Agency Management	1	0.0
FY 2012 Initial Adjusted Budget		295	2.0
FEDERAL PAYMENTS: FY 2012 Proposed Budget and FTE		295	2.0
Gross for DQ0 - Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure		295	2.0

Agency Performance Plan

The agency's performance plan has the following objectives for FY 2012:

Objective 1: Review all judicial misconduct complaints, and conduct misconduct investigations concerning matters within the Commission's jurisdiction.

Objective 2: Conduct performance and fitness reviews of retiring and senior judges eligible for reappointment to senior status.

Objective 3: Conduct reappointment evaluations of eligible associate judges.

Performance Measures

Measure	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Target	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Projection	FY 2012 Projection	FY 2013 Projection
Number of complaints reviewed	40	30	47	30	30	30
Number of judicial misconduct investigations completed ¹	21	15	18	15	15	15
Number of judicial reappointment evaluations completed ²	5	4	3	1	2	5
Number of senior judge reviews completed	11	13	17	10	13	13

Performance Plan Endnotes:

1. The Commission's enabling statutes mandate the completion of judicial reappointment evaluations and senior judge reviews within strict time frames. The target numbers projected reflect the actual number of associate and senior judges of both courts whose terms will expire during FY 2010 through FY 2012.
2. The statutes mandate that Commission investigations are limited to matters concerning the conduct or health of a judge. The Commission complies with the statutory requirements, and the target numbers reflected for complaints and investigations represents the average the Commission receives and conducts annually.

